



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/664,702 | • | 09/18/2003 | Stefan Karlinger | 71130 | 71130 4717 | |
| 23872 | 7590 | 05/19/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MCGLEV | W & TUT | TLE, PC | LAVINDER, JACK W | | | |
| P.O. BOX SCARBO | | TATION | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SCARBO | ROUGH, | NY 10510-9227 | 3677 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/200: | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005 | |

DITTE WITHELD: 03/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/664,702 | KARLINGER, STEFAN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Jack W. Lavinder | 3677 | | |

| | Jack W. Lavinder | 3677 | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate ext | D WITHIN TWO |
| peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | atutory period for reply originally set in the | final Office action; or (2) | as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution in Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below. | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); | TE below); | |
| (c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | | |
| NOTE: in claim 14, "a convex arcuate end wall" a | | ide planar end face" a | and "an inner |
| side planar end face" raises new issues. (See 37 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | • | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-14</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after t | entry is below or attac | oned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) Jack W Lavinder Frimary Examiner | |
| | | Art Unit: 3677 | |

4